



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/741,860	12/22/2000	David Carlton Moore	088305/0129	8558
75	90 01/23/2006		EXAM	INER
William T. Ellis			ZHEN, LI B	
FOLEY & LAR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington Harbour			ARI UNII	FAPER NUMBER
3000 K Street, N. W., Suite 500			2194	
Washington, DC 20007-5109			DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/741,860	MOORE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Li B. Zhen	2194			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 S	eptember 2005 and 31 October 2	<u>2005</u> .			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
<u> </u>					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		Evaminor			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<u> </u>	neigeity under 25 LLC C C 440(a)	(d) ~~ (5)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(u) or (i).			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received				
2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No.			
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· ·				
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d			
Attachment(s)		WILLIAM THOMSON WILLIAM THOMSON WISORY PATENT EXAMINER			
) DNotice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Serulary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2194

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 – 24 are pending in the current application.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 09/28/2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1 12, 15 18, 20, 21, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over "Designing a flexible services-based architecture for Internet Applications" [hereinafter Mehra] in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,732,175 to Abjanic, both references cited in the previous office action.
- 5. As to claim 1, Mehra teaches the invention substantially as claimed including an interface for interfacing between front-end data processing systems and back-end data processing systems [p. 31, figure in section 3, Client Layer and Database/Existing Applications], the interface comprising an engine [p. 31, figure in section 3, Context Processor], a node layer comprising at least one node [p. 31, figure in section 3, Business Logic Layer], and a utility layer comprising at least one utility [p. 31, figure in section 3, Interface], and in which:

Page 2

Art Unit: 2194

the engine configured to receive a message containing a request from a frontend system for a transaction to be performed by a back-end system [p. 32, section 3.2.1],

each node represents business logic interfaces to a back-end system [p. 32, section 3.3.1],

each node exposes business logic capabilities to the engine [p. 32, the interface of the Business Controller Object, section 3.3.2];

each utility is coupled as a proxy to a back-end system, and is configured to for receiving a transaction request from a node, for converting said request to a back-end system request, for receiving a response from the back-end system, and for routing a response to the requesting node [p. 32, sections 3.4.1 and 3.4.2],

each node routes a received response to the engine [p. 32, section 3.2.1 "The business logic layer...returns an XML output data stream"]; and

the engine routes a response to the requesting front-end system [p. 32, section 3.2.1 "The style sheet rendering engine merges the XML data stream with the appropriate XSL template file to render HTML output to the browser."].

6. Although, Mehra teaches the invention substantially, Mehra does not specifically disclose the engines comprising means for interpreting the message to select a relevant node from a plurality of nodes for interfacing, wherein the engine does not contain any business logic and the message not containing an operation identifier of the transaction to be performed.

However, Abjanic teaches interfacing between front-end data processing systems [clients, for example, may include a server 110 that includes an application program 112, a computer 120 (such as a personal computer or laptop) that may include a web browser 122 and a wireless device 132, such as a personal digital assistant (PDA) or a wireless (or cellular) telephone; col. 3, lines 47 – 65] and back-end data processing systems [data center 135 includes several processing nodes (e.g., servers), including server 150, server 160 and server 170 for handling the various orders, business transactions and other requests; col. 3, line 65 – col. 4, line 12], an engine configured to receive a message containing a request from a front-end system for a

Art Unit: 2194

transaction to be performed by a back-end system [content based message director (or XML director) can receive and switch messages based upon application data or business transaction information regardless of the transport or protocol used to transport the message; col. 3, lines 38 – 47; col. 4, lines 50 – 60], and means for interpreting said message to select a relevant node from a plurality of nodes for interfacing [application data or XML data (including markup characters and/or character data) is then compared to one or more configuration patterns or queries (which may be stored in the director 145) to determine if there is a match; col. 6, lines 50 – 63] the message not containing an operation identifier of the transaction to be performed [a match is found between the business transaction information and the pattern, the content based switching logic 316 outputs a switching decision to a output interface 320. The switching decision may, for example, indicate that a match was found and identify the processing node or server; col. 9, lines 29 – 35 and col. 3, lines 14 – 23] wherein the engine does not contain any business logic [message director 145 to direct or switch messages to a selected server based upon the content of application data, such as business transaction information, col. 4, lines 50 – 60; examiner notes that the message director sends messages to the server or processing node to process the message, col. 3, lines 10 – 22, therefore, the message director does not contain any business logic], each node represents business logic interfaces to a back-end system. each node exposes business logic capabilities to the engine [different types of services (or different levels of service) to be provided for messages based on the content of the application data; col. 7, lines 33 – 43];

the engine comprises means for using the exposed node business logic capabilities to automatically build [configuration patterns may be dynamically changed or updated by a user or by a program or application. For example, a program may detect the failure of one or more servers and/or detect the response time of servers, and then update the configuration pattern to account for these changes in the network; col. 6, lines 50 - 63] a process map [configuration pattern; col. 7, lines 6 - 33] linking received request messages with nodes, wherein the engine uses the process map to

Art Unit: 2194

select the relevant node from the plurality of nodes [Based on the above configuration patterns, the director 145 would direct a message to server; col. 7, lines 23 - 33]; and

Page 5

each utility is coupled as a proxy to a back-end system, and is configured to for receiving a transaction request from a node, for converting said request to a back-end system request, for receiving a response from the back-end system, and for routing a response to the requesting node [Broker 410 performs address translation on the header(s) for the message; col. 10, lines 33 - 67].

- 7. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the teaching of interpreting the message to select a relevant node from a plurality of nodes for interfacing, wherein the engine does not contain any business logic and the message not containing an operation identifier of the transaction to be performed as taught by Abjanic to the invention of Mehra because this offloads the burden of examining the application data or business transaction information and then switching to a particular processing node from application servers [col. 3, lines 33 39 of Abjanic].
- 8. As to claim 2 Mehra as modified teaches the engine comprises means for dynamically maintains the process map according to the exposed node business logic capabilities [col. 6, lines 50 63 of Abjanic].
- 9. As to claim 3, Mehra teaches the process map comprises a script file [XML is used for structured data message; Section 2, p. 31].
- 10. As to claim 4, Mehra as modified teaches the process map comprises script messages, each message having a map associating incoming parameter names with standardised names [col. 7, lines 23 33 of Abjanic].
- 11. As to claim 5, Mehra as modified teaches each message of the process map specifies an associated node, a list of the parameters the node requires, and values which it returns for a type of incoming message [col. 7, lines 13 33 of Abjanic].

Art Unit: 2194

12. As to claim 6, Mehra teaches that the utilities interface with the node layer according to a uniform interface model ["...that provide an abstraction layer that encapsulates access..."; section 3.3.2, p. 32].

13. As to claim 7, Mehra as modified teaches the engine calls a plurality of nodes for a transaction request [col. 7, lines 2 - 6 of Abjanic].

Page 6

- 14. As to claim 8, Mehra as modified teaches the engine is configured for calling nodes in sequence, and for passing the output from a previous node to a next node [col. 9, lines 50 55 of Abjanic].
- 15. As to claim 9, Mehra as modified teaches the engine and each node uses a hashtable mapping keys to values for passing data and control to each other [col. 10, lines 33 67 of Abjanic].
- 16. As to claims 10 and 11, Mehra as modified teaches the engine and the nodes each use a hashtable for returning a result from a back-end system [col. 10, lines 33 67 of Abjanic].
- 17. As to claim 12, Mehra teaches each of the engine and each node comprise an object instantiated from an object-oriented class [section 3.3.2, p. 32].
- 18. As to claim 15, Mehra as modified teaches the engine comprises an externally visible engine class ["Servlet"; section 3.2.2 "Servlet" of Mehra], an object of which comprises means for instantiating: a processor object for instantiating said node objects ["Business Object Factory"; section 3.3.1, p. 31 of Mehra]; and a loader object for loading the process map, and for determining node objects associated with a received message [col. 6, lines 50 63 of Abjanic].

Art Unit: 2194

19. As to claim 16, Mehra as modified teaches the engine is configured for instantiating a parser object for parsing a received message [parses all or part of the application data; col. 6, lines 39 – 49 of Abjanic], for placing extracted data in a hashtable, and for returning the hashtable to the engine object [col. 10, lines 33 – 67 of Abjanic].

Page 7

- 20. As to claim 17, Mehra as modified teaches the engine comprises a builder object configured for automatically updating the process map according to capabilities exposed by node classes [col. 6, lines 50 63 of Abjanic].
- 21. As to claim 18, Mehra as modified teaches each node class comprises a method for returning a string to the engine indicating the node capabilities [program may detect the failure of one or more servers and/or detect the response time of servers, and then update the configuration pattern to account for these changes in the network; col. 6, lines 56 63 of Abjanic].
- 22. As to claim 20, Mehra as modified teaches a method of interfacing between frontend data processing systems and back-end data processing systems [p. 31, figure in section 3, Client Layer and Database/Existing Applications of Mehra], the method being performed by an interface comprising an engine [p. 31, figure in section 3, Context Processor of Mehra] for communicating with the front-end systems and a utility layer for communicating with the back-end systems, the method comprising the steps of:

the engine receiving from a front-end system a message incorporating a request for a transaction to be performed by a back end system but not indicating a particular back-end system suitable for the transaction [p. 32, section 3.2.1 of Mehra], wherein the message does not include an operation identifier identifying the transaction to be performed [a match is found between the business transaction information and the pattern, the content based switching logic 316 outputs a switching decision to a output interface 320. The switching decision may, for example, indicate that a match was found and identify the processing node or server; col. 9, lines 29 - 35 and col. 3, lines 14 - 23

of Abjanic; examiner notes the identity of the processing node is determined by the switching logic and not the message],

the engine using a process map [configuration pattern; col. 7, lines 6 – 33 of Abjanic] to select one of a plurality of nodes in a node layer which may provide a suitable link to the back-end systems for the request [col. 7, lines 23 – 33 of Abjanic], the process map being automatically built [configuration patterns may be dynamically changed or updated by a user or by a program or application. For example, a program may detect the failure of one or more servers and/or detect the response time of servers, and then update the configuration pattern to account for these changes in the network; col. 6, lines 50 – 63 of Abjanic] to link message types to nodes according to exposed business logic capabilities of the nodes [col. 7, lines 33 – 43 of Abjanic], wherein the engine does not contain any business logic [message director 145 to direct or switch messages to a selected server based upon the content of application data, such as business transaction information, col. 4, lines 50 – 60 of Abjanic; examiner notes that the message director sends messages to the server or processing node to process the message, col. 3, lines 10 – 22 of Abjanic, therefore, the message director does not contain any business logic],

the engine passing a request to the selected node [p. 32, the interface of the Business Controller Object, section 3.3.2 of Mehra],

the selected node communicating with a utility with which it is associated to instruct the utility to perform the transaction, receiving a response from the utility, and passing the response back to the node [p. 32, sections 3.4.1 and 3.4.2 of Mehra],

the node passing the response back to the engine [p. 32, section 3.2.1 "The business logic layer...returns an XML output data stream" of Mehra], and the engine passing the response back to the requesting front-end [p. 32, section 3.2.1 "The style sheet rendering engine merges the XML data stream with the appropriate XSL template file to render HTML output to the browser" of Mehra].

23. As to claim 21, this is rejected for the same reasons as claims 10 and 15 above.

Art Unit: 2194

24. As to claim 23, Mehra teaches the process map is an XML script file [section 3.1.1, p. 31].

- 25. As to claim 24, this is a product claim that corresponds to method claim 20; note the rejection to claim 20 above, which also meets this product claim.
- 26. Claims 13, 14, 19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mehra and Abjanic further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,434,555 to Frolund et al. [hereinafter Frolund, cited in previous office action].
- 27. As to claim 13, Mehra and Abjanic teach that each of the engine and each node comprises means for using a hashtable which maps keys to values for passing data and control to each other, and the engine comprises means for passing a hashtable as a parameter as in claims 9 and 10 above. Mehra and Abjanic do not teach an execute method, a commit method, and a rollback method of a node object.

However, Frolund teaches an execute method, a commit method, and a rollback method of a node object [Fig. 3].

- 28. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the execute, commit, and rollback methods of Frolund with the systems of Mehra and Abjanic because this provides outcome determination so that the result of a transaction can be used to make a decision [col. 2, lines 28 39 of Frolund].
- 29. As to claim 14, Mehra as modified teaches the engine is configured for activating a sequence of nodes for a transaction, and each node is configured for performing a rollback if a transaction fails [col. 4, lines 21 52 of Frolund].
- 30. As to claim 19, this is similar to claim 1 and is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1. As to the additional limitations, Mehra as modified teaches each of the engine and each node comprises an object instantiated from an object-oriented class [section 3.3.2, p. 32 of Mehra] and each of the engine and each node comprises means for

Page 9

Art Unit: 2194

using a hashtable which maps keys to values for passing data and control to each other, and the engine comprises means for passing a hashtable as a parameter in an execute method, a commit method, and a rollback method of a node object [col. 4, lines 21 – 52 of Frolund].

Page 10

31. As to claim 22, Mehra as modified teaches the engine passes a hashtable as a parameter in an execute method, a commit method, and a rollback method, and the node rolls back according to the rollback method if the transaction fails [col. 4, lines 21 – 52 of Frolund].

Response to Arguments

32. In the amendment [dated 09/28/2005] filed after Final-Rejection, applicant amended the independent claims to recite "automatically build a process map". Examiner noted in the Advisory Action dated 10/18/2005 that there does not appear to be written description of the new limitation "automatically build a process map". In the latest response [dated 10/31/2005], applicant submits that the originally filed specification provides adequate written description support for the features recited in the pending independent claims because the processing unit [which is an automated entity] builds the process map. Therefore, the process map is automatically created by the engine, which is an automated entity. Examiner notes that the combination of Mehra and Abjanic also teaches automatically building a process map because Abjanic teaches the configuration patterns may be dynamically changed or updated by a program or application [col. 6, lines 50 – 63 of Abjanic]. Since a program or application is executed by a processor, which is an automated entity, the configuration patterns are changed or updated automatically. Examiner also notes that when the configuration patterns are updated, new configuration patterns could be created to account for changes in the network [e.g. when new servers are added or redirect certain messages from busy servers to servers which are less busy, or from servers which have failed to the available servers; col. 6, lines 50 - 63]. Therefore, the combination of Mehra and Abjanic teaches applicant's claimed invention.

CONTACT INFORMATION

33. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Li B. Zhen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3768. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Thomson can be reached on 571-272-3718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Li B. Zhen Examiner Art Unit 2194

lbz

WILLIAM THOMSON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER